

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/987,017	11/13/2001	Toshiyuki Sakurai	011441	6353
38834 75	7590 01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/987,017	SAKURAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner &	Art Unit				
·	Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 N	<u>ovember 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,5-7,9,12,13 and 16-19 is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,5-7,9,12,13 and 16-19 is/are rejected	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,9,12,13 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attac	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have b u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		iew Summary (PTO-413) · No(s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	C	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/987,017 Page 2

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 12, 13, and 16-19 are pending for examination. The Office acknowledges the cancellation of claim 20.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 29, 2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 12, 13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berman et al. (USPN 5,995,939) (hereinafter Berman) in view of Akers et al. (US 2002/0169637) (hereinafter Akers).

Page 3

4. Referring to claim 1, Berman discloses a linkage system which links a first computer of a first medical institution with a second computer of a second medical institution through a network (Figure 1, ref. 30-42),

wherein the first computer of the first medical institution comprising:

a communication terminal (i.e. client system 10) for sending out an email to the network and receiving an email from the network (col. 5, lines 31-62);

an inquiry file creating means for creating an inquiry basic data file having a patient information and inquiry contents (col. 5, lines 38-55);

an inquiry mail creating means for creating an email having the inquiry basic data file as an attachment file thereto (the Office takes the term "attachment" as "connected in some form") and addressing to the second computer (col. 5, line 54 to col. 6, line 39);

a reply contents output means for outputting reply contents being included in an attachment file of a received email in a predetermined mode (col. 6, line 45-52; col. 9, lines 9-42); and

wherein the second computer of the second medical institution comprising:

a communication terminal for sending out an email to the network and receiving an email from the network (col. 7, lines 50-62);

an inquiry contents output means for outputting inquiry contents being included in an attachment file of a received email in a predetermined mode (Figure 5; col. 8, lines 27-46);

a reply file creating means for creating a reply basic data file having an answer to the outputted inquiry contents (Figure 5; col. 8, line 47-57); and Art Unit: 2143

a reply mail creating means for creating an email having the reply basic data file as an attachment file thereto and addressing to the first computer (e.g. abstract; col. 8, lines 20-53).

Berman does not specifically disclose a database configured to read and write patient data and medical treatment data, a data editor configured to edit medical treatment data based on data entry through an input device of the second computer, and a reply file creator configured to create a reply file including the edited medical treatment data. In analogous art, Akers discloses another medical linkage system which discloses database configured to read and write patient data and medical treatment data (i.e. record client 104a and record server 102) (Figure 1), a data editor configured to edit medical treatment data based on data entry through an input device of the second computer (i.e. comment request system) (p. 7, ¶ 73-75), and a reply file creator configured to create a reply file including the edited medical treatment data (p. 7, ¶ 73-77). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Akers with Berman in order to provide the service request and fulfillment system of Berman with the improved medical file management abilities of Akers. The combination would yield to improved integrity of the patient's medical record file data, such that changes cannot be made to the diagnostic data and that all access is tracked, such that the continuity of the medial record file data can be maintained (i.e. tracking the blood orders of Berman and any changes made to the system) as supported by Akers (p. 1, ¶ 9).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2143

- 5. Referring to claim 5, Berman discloses the invention substantively as described in claim1. Berman does not specifically disclose each data item of the patient data is specified on data entry through the first computer, however Akers discloses encapsulating graphic image data such as x-rays, sonogram data or any other suitable data, which inherently must be entered using an input device (p. 4, ¶ 38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Akers with Berman in order to provide the service request and fulfillment system of Berman with the improved medical file management abilities of Akers. The combination would yield to improved integrity of the patient's medical record file data, such that changes cannot be made to the diagnostic data and that all access is tracked, such that the continuity of the medial record file data can be maintained (i.e. tracking the blood orders of Berman and any changes made to the system) as supported by Akers (p. 1, ¶ 9).
- 6. Claims 6-7, 9, 12, and 16-18 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.
- 7. Referring to claims 13, and 19, Berman and Akers do not specifically disclose creating an ID uniquely identifying the medical record, however this would be an inherent feature of the system, since each patient requires a different medical record and it would be necessary to create a unique ID for each file. It would also be inherent to create a unique ID for each request for comment for when the comments are replied to, and received by the originating sender, the computer can easily match them up for encapsulation and inclusion into the medical record data file. Furthermore this also

Art Unit: 2143

reads upon the limitation "controlling an inquiry mail and a reply mail in pairs provided they have ID data corresponding to each other" since they are matched up for the medical record. As to displaying both the inquiry mail and reply mail in pairs, this would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since it is well known that most email systems will regenerate the originating email when a reply is generated, therefore this would be obvious in order to allow the user to easily view all the data regarding this transaction.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant argues the motivation is not sufficient to render the invention obvious over Berman in view of Akers. A new rationale of motivation has been provided.

Conclusion

9. It is the Examiner's position that Applicant has not yet submitted claims drawn to limitations, which define the operation and apparatus of Applicant's disclosed invention in manner, which distinguishes over the prior art. As it is Applicant's right to continue to claim as broadly as possible their invention. It is also the Examiner's right to continue to interpret the claim language as broadly as possible. It is the Examiner's position that the detailed functionality (i.e. how the inquiry file and reply file are actually created) that allows for Applicant's invention to overcome the prior art used in the rejection, fails to differentiate in detail how these features are unique

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (571) 272-3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JEA January 6, 2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER